3

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

W.P. NO. 884 **/2006** Single Bench

PETITIONER : Loknath Thakur, S/o. Fekuram Thakur, Aged about 36 years, R/o. Imlibhata, Ward No.2, Mahasamund, Distt Mahasamund (CG)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1] State of Chhattisgarh, Through: Secretary, Panchayat and Gramin Vikas Vibhag, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (CG)

2] Collector, Mahasamund, District Mahasamund (CG)

3] Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Mahasamund, District Mahasamund (CG)

4] Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Mahasamund District Mahasamund (CG)

5] District Education Officer, Mahasamund, Distt Mahasamund (CG)

P.R. No. 954/06
Presented by Shri KASTURIVADHWA
dated 21/12/06

RECEIVED-COPY
21/12/06
CLE. to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.No. 884/06

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	2-3-2006 Shri Manoj Paranjape, counse for the petitioner. Shri G.K. Beriwal, Dy. Advocate General for the State. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that appointment order to the petitioner as Shiksha Karmi Grade III was issued on 20-7-1998 and pursuant to this order, the petitioner joined. Subsequently the earlier list was cancelled and an amended selection list was published on 3-9-1998 and in this list also the petitioner was selected on the post of Shiksha karmi Grade- III. Thereafter the list dated 3-9-1998 was also cancelled and fresh list was published 9-10-1998. Now on 13-5-2005, petitioner's services have been regularized but his three months earlier service from 20-7-1998 to 9-10-1998 has not been considered for seniority. He submitted that the petitioner submitted representations to the Collector as per Annexures P-8 and P-9 dated 13-12-2005 and 18-1-2006 respectively but the same have not been decided. Counsel for the State submits that for the present the petitioner may be directed to file a fresh representation to the authorities concerned, which shall be considered on its own merits. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within 15 days, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of	

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 884/06 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of receipt of the representation by a reasoned order. If required, the petitioner may be heard. It is made clear that if the petitioner's grievances still remains, he may avail the remedy available under the law. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently I.A. No. 2273/2006 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	

[पीछे देखिये